

Full reference list

Homecare co-created in partnership with older people – from debut to end of life

Goliath I. et al.

1. *Strategic Research Agenda for the National Applied Welfare Research Programme*. Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://forte.se/en/publication/strategic-research-agenda-national-applied-welfare-programme/>
2. Meinow B, Li P, Jasilionis D, et al. Trends over two decades in life expectancy with complex health problems among older Swedes: implications for the provision of integrated health care and social care. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1):759. doi:10.1186/s12889-022-13099-8
3. Meinow B, Wastesson JW, Kåreholt I, Kelfve S. Long-Term Care Use During the Last 2 Years of Life in Sweden: Implications for Policy to Address Increased Population Aging. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2020;21(6):799-805. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2020.01.003
4. Lunney JR, Lynn J, Foley DJ, Lipson S, Guralnik JM. Patterns of functional decline at the end of life. *Jama*. 2003;289(18):2387-2392.
5. Smith AK, Walter LC, Miao Y, Boscardin WJ, Covinsky KE. Disability During the Last Two Years of Life. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2013;173(16):1506-1513. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.8738
6. Sipilä J. *Social Care Services: The Key to the Scandinavian Welfare Model*. Routledge; 2019.
7. Social Services Act (2001: 453). Published online 2001.
8. Mac Innes H, Walsh K, Österberg T. The inverse care law and the significance of income for utilization of longterm care services in a Nordic welfare state. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2021;282:114125.
9. Johansson L, Schön P. Quality and cost-effectiveness in long-term care and dependency prevention: Country report Sweden. *CEQUA LTC Network European Commission*. Published online 2017.
10. Brodin H FM Andersson U and Mattsson T (eds). Still a responsive state? Marketization and inequalities in Swedish aged care. In: *Privatization, Vulnerability and Social Responsibility: A Comparative Perspective*. Vol 2017. Routledge London; :201-220.
11. *National Action Plan on Aging Policy (1997/98:113)*.
12. Erlandsson S, Brodin H, Graff L, Karsio O. Choice models in Nordic long-term care: care managers' experiences of privilege and disadvantage among older adults. *Eur J Ageing*. 2022;19(2):211-220. doi:10.1007/s10433-022-00697-z
13. Vård och omsorg om äldre - lägesrapport 2023. [Health and socialcare for older people - Status report 2023. Published online 2023.
14. Olaison A. Processing older persons as clients in elderly care: A study of the micro-processes of care management practice. *Social Work in Health Care*. 2017;56(2):78-98. doi:10.1080/00981389.2016.1265625

15. Szebehely M, Meagher G. Nordic eldercare – Weak universalism becoming weaker? *Journal of European Social Policy*. 2018;28(3):294-308. doi:10.1177/0958928717735062
16. Meinow B, Goliath I., Wallcook, S., Strehlenert H., Flink, M., Klinga C., Johansson, K., von Berens, Å. Making a debut in eldercare – what characterizes first-time users 65 years and older? A register study based on SNAC Stockholm Eldercare, 2023. *Manuscript*. Published online 2023.
17. Meinow B. Österman J. von Berens Å. *StockholmsSNAC 2019 - En Beskrivning Av Äldreomsorgstagarna Och Omsorgens Omfattning i Stockholms Stad. [StockholmsSNAC 2019 - a Description of Older Carerecipients and Proportion of Eldercare in Stockholm City]*. Vol 2020. Stockholm Gerontology Research Center
18. Schön P, Lagergren M, Kåreholt I. Rapid decrease in length of stay in institutional care for older people in Sweden between 2006 and 2012: results from a population-based study. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2016;24(5):631-638. doi:10.1111/hsc.12237
19. Wimo, A., Handels, R., Elmståhl, S., Fagerström, C., Fratiglioni, L., Isaksson, U., . . . Sköldunger, A. Informell och formell vård hos äldre personer i ordinärt boende - Förändringar och samspel över tid 2001-2015 i SNAC projektet [Formal and informal care of older people living at home - Changes and interactions over time 2001-2015 in the Swedish National study on Aging and Care]. 2020. <https://www.snac.org>
20. Anhöriga som vårdar eller stödjer någon de står nära: Underlag till en nationell strategi [Family members that care and support someone they are close to: A basis for a national strategy]. Published online 2021.
21. From the state to the family or to the market? Consequences of reduced residential eldercare in Sweden - Ulmanen - 2015 - International Journal of Social Welfare - Wiley Online Library. Accessed October 20, 2023. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ijsw.12108>
22. von Saenger I, Dahlberg L, Augustsson E, Fritzell J, Lennartsson C. Will your child take care of you in your old age? Unequal caregiving received by older parents from adult children in Sweden. *Eur J Ageing*. 2023;20(1):8. doi:10.1007/s10433-023-00755-0
23. Schön P, Johansson L. ESPN Thematic Report on work-life balance measures for persons of working age with dependent relatives. *European Commission, Brussels*. Published online 2016.
24. Peterson E, Brodin H. Choice, needs or equality? Discursive struggles about defining home care for older people in Sweden. *Ageing & Society*. 2022;42(10):2433-2453.
25. SOSFS (2012:3). *Socialstyrelsens Allmänna Råd Om Värdegrunden i Social- Tjänstens Omsorg Om Äldre. [The National Board of Health and Welfare General Advice on Basic Values in Eldercare]*.
26. Brennan D, Cass B, Himmelweit S, Szebehely M. The marketisation of care: Rationales and consequences in Nordic and liberal care regimes. *Journal of European Social Policy*. 2012;22(4):377-391. doi:10.1177/0958928712449772
27. Ljung, M. & Ivarsson Westerberg, A. *När Målstyrning Blev Detaljstyrning. Arbetsvillkor Och Administrativa Rutiner i Hemtjänsten*. Förvaltningsakademien och Södertörns högskola;; 2017.

28. Szebehely, M., & Trydegård, G.-B. (2011). Home care in Sweden. In T. Rostgaard, C. Glendinning, C. Gori, T. Kroger, A. Osterle, M. Szebehely, H. Thoebald, V. Timonen, & M. Vabö (eds.). *Living Independently at Home: Reforms in Home Care in 9 European Countries*. The Danish National Centre for Social Research.; :231-252. Retrieved from <http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/spru/research/pdf/livindhome.pdf>
29. Russell SJ, Siostrom K, Edwards I, Srikanth V. Consumer experiences of home care packages. *Australas J Ageing*. 2020;39(3). doi:10.1111/ajag.12771
30. Sanerma P, Paavilainen E, Åstedt-Kurki P. Home care services for older persons. The views of older persons and family members: A realistic evaluation. *Int J Older People Nursing*. 2020;15(1):e12281. doi:10.1111/opn.12281
31. Hammar LM, Alam M, Olsen M, Swall A, Boström AM. Being Treated With Respect and Dignity?—Perceptions of Home Care Service Among Persons With Dementia. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2021;22(3):656-662. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2020.07.002
32. Olsen M, Udo C, Dahlberg L, Boström AM. Older Persons' Views on Important Values in Swedish Home Care Service: A Semi-Structured Interview Study. *JMDH*. 2022;Volume 15:967-977. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S347886
33. Olaison A, Cedersund E, Marcusson J, Valtersson E, Sverker A. Maneuvering the care puzzle: Experiences of participation in care by frail older persons with significant care needs living at home. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*. 2021;16(1):1937896. doi:10.1080/17482631.2021.1937896
34. Ernst Bravell M, Bennich M, Walfridsson C. "In August, I Counted 24 Different Names": Swedish Older Adults' Experiences of Home Care. *J Appl Gerontol*. 2021;40(9):1020-1028. doi:10.1177/0733464820917568
35. Jakobsson E, Dohrn I-M. & Palmlöf L. "Who will support me to maintain my health?" Older people's experiences and perceptions of home care services. *In manuscript*. 2023.
36. Dohrn IM, von Berens A, Olsson C, Rydwick E, Jakobsson E, Palmlöf L. Between principles and pragmatism - primary health care and social services staff's perceptions of self-care verifications: a qualitative study. *Submitted manuscript*. 2023.
37. Valfärdens kompetensförsörjning Personalprognos 2021–2031 och hur välfärden kan möta kompetensutmaningen. [Supply of competence in welfare. Staff prognosis 2021-2031 and how welfare can meet the competence challenge]. *Sveriges Kommuner och regioner ISBN: 978-91-8047-099-5*. Published online 2022.
38. Vilja Välja Vård Och Omsorg – En Hållbar Kompetensförsörjning Inom Vård Och Omsorg Om Äldre. [Willingness to Choose Healthcare and Social Care. A Sustainable Supply of Qualified Staff in Healthcare and Social Services for Elderly]. Ministry of Health and Social Affairs. Stockholm
39. Strandell R. Omsorgsarbete i hemtjänsten : – förändringar, utmaningar och möjligheter. Published online 2022. Accessed October 20, 2023. <https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:su:diva-209918>

40. Maneschiöld PO, Lucaci-Maneschiöld D. Nursing assistant's perceptions of the good work environment in municipal elderly care in Sweden—a focus group study. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*. 2021;35(9):163-177.
41. For QUASER-team, Wiig S, Aase K, et al. Talking about quality: exploring how 'quality' is conceptualized in European hospitals and healthcare systems. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2014;14(1):478. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-478
42. Boström A, Lundgren D, Kabir ZN, Kåreholt I. Factors in the psychosocial work environment of staff are associated with satisfaction with care among older persons receiving home care services. *Health Social Care Comm*. 2022;30(6). doi:10.1111/hsc.14045
43. *SOU (2019:20). Stärkt Kompetens i Vård Och Omsorg. [Strengthened Competence in Healthcare and Social Care]*. Ministry of Health and Social Affairs. Stockholm; 2019.
44. Radbruch L, Payne S, De Lima L, Lohmann D. The Lisbon Challenge: Acknowledging Palliative Care as a Human Right. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*. 2013;16(3):301-304. doi:10.1089/jpm.2012.0394
45. Johansson T, Tishelman C, Cohen J, Eriksson LE, Goliath I. Continuums of Change in a Competence-Building Initiative Addressing End-of-Life Communication in Swedish Elder Care. *Qual Health Res*. 2021;31(10):1904-1917. doi:10.1177/10497323211012986
46. Ahlström G, Huang H, Luo Y, et al. Similarities and differences between China and Sweden regarding the core features of palliative care for people aged 60 or older: a systematic scoping review. *BMC Palliat Care*. 2022;21(1):35. doi:10.1186/s12904-022-00906-7
47. *Swedish Register of Palliative Care: Annual Report 2022 [Årsrapport För Svenska Palliativregistret Verksamhetsår 2022]*. Swedish Register of Palliative Care.; 2022.
48. Bing-Jonsson PC, Foss C, Bjørk IT. The competence gap in community care: Imbalance between expected and actual nursing staff competence. *Nordic Journal of Nursing Research*. 2016;36(1):27-37. doi:10.1177/0107408315601814
49. Sallnow L, Smith R, Ahmedzai SH, et al. Report of the Lancet Commission on the Value of Death: bringing death back into life. *The Lancet*. 2022;399(10327):837-884.
50. Sawatzky R, Porterfield P, Lee J, et al. Conceptual foundations of a palliative approach: a knowledge synthesis. *BMC Palliative Care*. 2016;15(1):5. doi:10.1186/s12904-016-0076-9
51. Pastrana T, Jünger S, Ostgathe C, Elsner F, Radbruch L. A matter of definition – key elements identified in a discourse analysis of definitions of palliative care. *Palliat Med*. 2008;22(3):222-232. doi:10.1177/0269216308089803
52. Christ GH, Sormanti M. Advancing Social Work Practice in End-of-Life Care. *Social Work in Health Care*. 2000;30(2):81-99. doi:10.1300/J010v30n02_05
53. Organization WH. Assessing the development of palliative care worldwide: a set of actionable indicators. Published online 2021. Accessed October 20, 2023. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/345532/9789240033351-eng.pdf>

54. Volkert D, Beck AM, Cederholm T, et al. ESPEN guideline on clinical nutrition and hydration in geriatrics. *Clinical nutrition*. 2019;38(1):10-47.
55. v Berens Å, Palmlöf L. *Kartläggande Enkätundersökning För Att Förebygga Undernäring. Ett Stöd till Utveckling Av Aktiviteter För Äldre [Mapping Survey to Prevent Malnutrition. Support to the Development of Activities for Elderly]*. Stockholm Gerontology Research Center; 2022.
56. Wysokiński A, Sobów T, Kłoszewska I, Kostka T. Mechanisms of the anorexia of aging—a review. *AGE*. 2015;37(4):81. doi:10.1007/s11357-015-9821-x
57. de Boer A, Ter Horst GJ, Lorist MM. Physiological and psychosocial age-related changes associated with reduced food intake in older persons. *Ageing research reviews*. 2013;12(1):316-328.
58. Norman K, Pichard C, Lochs H, Pirlich M. Prognostic impact of disease-related malnutrition. *Clinical nutrition*. 2008;27(1):5-15.
59. Volkert D. Malnutrition in older adults-urgent need for action: a plea for improving the nutritional situation of older adults. *Gerontology*. 2013;59(4):328-333.
60. *Socialstyrelsens Föreskrifter Och Allmänna Råd Om Förebyggande Av Och Behandling Vid Undernäring. [The National Board of Health and Welfare's Regulations and General Advice on Prevention and Treatment of Malnutrition]*. The National Board of Health and Welfare; 2022.
61. Wallcook S, Nygård L, Kottorp A, Malinowsky C. The use of everyday information communication technologies in the lives of older adults living with and without dementia in Sweden. *Assistive Technology*. 2021;33(6):333-340. doi:10.1080/10400435.2019.1644685
62. vBerens Å, Meinow B, Johansson L, Sonde L. *Livet under Första Vågen. Vardagen under Coronapandemin För 70 År Och Äldre i Stockholm. [Life during the First Wave. Everyday Life during the Corona Pandemic for People 70 Years and Older in Stockholm]*. Stockholm Gerontology Research Center
63. Ryd C, Nygård L, Malinowsky C, Öhman A, Kottorp A. Can the everyday technology use questionnaire predict overall functional level among older adults with mild cognitive impairment or mild-stage alzheimer's disease? – a pilot study. *Scandinavian Caring Sciences*. 2017;31(1):201-209. doi:10.1111/scs.12330
64. Jakobsson E, Nygård L, Kottorp A, Bråkenhielm Olsson C, Malinowsky C. Does the purpose matter? A comparison of everyday information and communication technologies between eHealth use and general use as perceived by older adults with cognitive impairment. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*. 2022;17(8):897-906. doi:10.1080/17483107.2020.1821103
65. Helsper E. *The Digital Disconnect: The Social Causes and Consequences of Digital Inequalities.*; 2021. doi:10.4135/9781526492982
66. Kottorp A, Nygård L, Hedman A, et al. Access to and use of everyday technology among older people: An occupational justice issue – but for whom? *Journal of Occupational Science*. 2016;23(3):382-388. doi:10.1080/14427591.2016.1151457

67. Rydenfält C, Holgersson C, Östlund B, Arvidsson I, Johansson G, Persson R. Picking low hanging fruit – A scoping review of work environment related interventions in the home care sector. *Home Health Care Services Quarterly*. 2020;39(4):223-237. doi:10.1080/01621424.2020.1772936
68. Sahin E, Vidal LA, Benzarti E. A framework to evaluate the complexity of home care services. *Kybernetes*. 2013;42(4):569-592.
69. Rydwick E, Lindqvist R, Willers C, et al. Health status and health care utilization after discharge from geriatric in-hospital stay – description of a register-based study. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21(1):760. doi:10.1186/s12913-021-06751-3
70. The Health and Medical Care Act 2017:30. Published online 2017.
71. *God Och Nära Vård – En Reform För Ett Hållbart Hälso- Och Sjukvårdssystem. [Good Quality, Local Health Care. A Reform for a Sustainable Health Care System]*. Ministry of Health and Social Affairs. Stockholm; 2020.
72. The Competence improvement initiative in eldercare.
73. Anderson, H. *En Hemtjänst Att Lita På. [A Home Help Service You Can Trust]*. Stockholm: Kommunal.; 2022.
74. Ramtid för hemtjänst [Frametime for homcare service].
75. Frennert S. Approaches to welfare technology in municipal eldercare. *Journal of Technology in Human Services*. 2020;38(3):226-246. doi:10.1080/15228835.2020.1747043
76. Vermeer Y, Higgs P, Charlesworth G. What do we require from surveillance technology? A review of the needs of people with dementia and informal caregivers. *Journal of Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies Engineering*. 2019;6:205566831986951. doi:10.1177/2055668319869517
77. Vermeer Y, van Santen J, Charlesworth G, Higgs P. People with dementia and carers online discussing surveillance. *Journal of Enabling Technologies*. 2020;14(1):55-70.
78. *Lag Om Samverkan Vid Utskrivning Från Sluten Hälso- Och Sjukvård. [Law on Collaboration on Discharge from Inpatient Healthcare]*. Ministry of Health and Social Affairs. Stockholm; 2017.
79. Shaw SE, Rosen R. Fragmentation: A wicked problem with an integrated solution? *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2013;18(1):61-64. doi:10.1258/jhsrp.2012.012002
80. Rittel HWJ, Webber MM. Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sci*. 1973;4(2):155-169. doi:10.1007/BF01405730
81. Larsson OS, Forsberg C, Schneider T. Comparing co-production in public, for-profit, and non-profit organizations: A survey of homes for the elderly in Sweden. *Nordic Journal of Wellbeing and Sustainable Welfare Development*. 2022;1(1):51-66. doi:10.18261/njwel.1.1.5
82. Buffel T. Social research and co-production with older people: Developing age-friendly communities. *Journal of Aging Studies*. 2018;44:52-60. doi:10.1016/j.jaging.2018.01.012
83. McMullin C. “I’m paid to do other things”: Complementary co-production tasks for professionals. *Local Government Studies*. 2022;0(0):1-21. doi:10.1080/03003930.2022.2077728

84. Luijkx K, van Boekel L, Janssen M, Verbiest M, Stoop A. The Academic Collaborative Center Older Adults: A Description of Co-Creation between Science, Care Practice and Education with the Aim to Contribute to Person-Centered Care for Older Adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(23):9014. doi:10.3390/ijerph17239014
85. Malm C, Andersson S, Jönson H, Magnusson L, Hanson E. Moving beyond the first response phenomenon: Exploring carers' views and experiences of being involved in research and development work. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*. 2019;39(7/8):627-643. doi:10.1108/IJSSP-05-2019-0091
86. Biddle MSY, Gibson A, Evans D. Attitudes and approaches to patient and public involvement across Europe: A systematic review. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2021;29(1):18-27. doi:10.1111/hsc.13111
87. Dengsø KE, Lindholm ST, Herling SF, et al. Patient and public involvement in Nordic healthcare research: a scoping review of contemporary practice. *Research Involvement and Engagement*. 2023;9(1):72. doi:10.1186/s40900-023-00490-x
88. Kylén M, Slaug B, Jonsson O, Iwarsson S, Schmidt SM. User involvement in ageing and health research: a survey of researchers' and older adults' perspectives. *Health Res Policy Sys*. 2022;20(1):93. doi:10.1186/s12961-022-00894-3
89. Ree E, Wiig S, Braithwaite J, Aase I. To what degree and how do healthcare professionals in nursing homes and homecare practice user involvement? A mixed methods study. *TFO*. 2020;6(2):119-136. doi:10.18261/issn.2387-5984-2020-02-09
90. James H, Buffel T. Co-research with older people: A systematic literature review. *Ageing & Society*. Published online 2022:1-27.
91. Fischer B, Peine A, Östlund B. The importance of user involvement: a systematic review of involving older users in technology design. *The Gerontologist*. 2020;60(7):e513-e523.
92. Iwarsson S, Edberg AK, Ivanoff SD, Hanson E, Jönson H, Schmidt S. Understanding User Involvement in Research in Aging and Health. *Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine*. 2019;5:233372141989778. doi:10.1177/2333721419897781
93. Cowdell F, Dyson J, Sykes M, Dam R, Pendleton R. How and how well have older people been engaged in healthcare intervention design, development or delivery using co-methodologies: A scoping review with narrative summary. *Health Social Care Comm*. 2022;30(2):776-798. doi:10.1111/hsc.13199
94. Staniszewska S, Brett J, Simera I, et al. GRIPP2 reporting checklists: tools to improve reporting of patient and public involvement in research. *bmj*. 2017;358. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://www.bmj.com/content/358/bmj.j3453>
95. Greenhalgh T, Hinton L, Finlay T, et al. Frameworks for supporting patient and public involvement in research: Systematic review and co-design pilot. *Health Expectations*. 2019;22(4):785-801. doi:10.1111/hex.12888
96. on behalf of the GrandStand, Safe Step and Teenage Girls on the Move Research Groups, Leask CF, Sandlund M, et al. Framework, principles and recommendations for utilising participatory

methodologies in the co-creation and evaluation of public health interventions. *Res Involv Engagem*. 2019;5(1):2. doi:10.1186/s40900-018-0136-9

97. Eriksson EM. *Co-Creation's Delusions: The Dark Side of User-Involvement*. Vol 2023. Student litteratur

98. Littlechild R, Tanner D, Hall K. Co-research with older people: Perspectives on impact. *Qualitative Social Work*. 2015;14(1):18-35. doi:10.1177/1473325014556791

99. Ocloo J, Matthews R. From tokenism to empowerment: progressing patient and public involvement in healthcare improvement. *BMJ quality & safety*. 2016;25(8):626-632.

100. Greenhalgh T, Jackson C, Shaw S, Janamian T. Achieving Research Impact Through Co-creation in Community-Based Health Services: Literature Review and Case Study. *The Milbank Quarterly*. 2016;94(2):392-429. doi:10.1111/1468-0009.12197

101. Gove D, Diaz-Ponce A, Georges J, et al. Alzheimer Europe's position on involving people with dementia in research through PPI (patient and public involvement). *Aging & Mental Health*. 2018;22(6):723-729. doi:10.1080/13607863.2017.1317334

102. Wallcook S, Nygård L, Kottorp A, Charlesworth G, Walles C. Conditions of technology use and its interplay in the everyday lives of older adults with and without dementia. *Improving the Lives of People with Dementia through Technology: Interdisciplinary Network for Dementia Utilising Current Technology*. Published online 2022. Accessed October 21, 2023. [https://books.google.com/books?hl=sv&lr=&id=SI-bEAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT71&dq=Wallcook,+Sarah,+et+al.+%22Conditions+of+technology+use+and+its+interplay+in+the+everyday+lives+of+older+adults+with+and+without+dementia.%22%C2%A0Improving+the+Lives+of+People+with+Dementia+through+Technology:+Interdisciplinary+Network+for+Dementia+Utilising+Current+Technology%C2%A0\(2022\).+&ots=UjUgYEaW5o&sig=ImRq7b55xLw5NAh6VoyQnAjfZSo](https://books.google.com/books?hl=sv&lr=&id=SI-bEAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT71&dq=Wallcook,+Sarah,+et+al.+%22Conditions+of+technology+use+and+its+interplay+in+the+everyday+lives+of+older+adults+with+and+without+dementia.%22%C2%A0Improving+the+Lives+of+People+with+Dementia+through+Technology:+Interdisciplinary+Network+for+Dementia+Utilising+Current+Technology%C2%A0(2022).+&ots=UjUgYEaW5o&sig=ImRq7b55xLw5NAh6VoyQnAjfZSo)

103. Sancino A. The Meta Co-production of Community Outcomes: Towards a Citizens' Capabilities Approach. *Voluntas*. 2016;27(1):409-424. doi:10.1007/s11266-015-9596-9

104. Boylan A, Locock L, Thomson R, Staniszewska S. "About sixty per cent I want to do it": Health researchers' attitudes to, and experiences of, patient and public involvement (PPI)—A qualitative interview study. *Health Expectations*. 2019;22(4):721-730. doi:10.1111/hex.12883

105. Thomsen MK, Baekgaard M, Jensen UT. The Psychological Costs of Citizen Coproduction. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 2020;30(4):656-673. doi:10.1093/jopart/muaa001

106. Halvorsrud K, Kucharska J, Adlington K, et al. Identifying evidence of effectiveness in the co-creation of research: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the international healthcare literature. *Journal of Public Health*. 2021;43(1):197-208. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdz126

107. Voorberg W, Jilke S, Tummers L, Bekkers V. Financial Rewards Do Not Stimulate Coproduction: Evidence from Two Experiments. *Public Administration Review*. 2018;78(6):864-873. doi:10.1111/puar.12896

108. Lindacher V, Curbach J, Warrelmann B, Brandstetter S, Loss J. Evaluation of Empowerment in Health Promotion Interventions: A Systematic Review. *Eval Health Prof*. 2018;41(3):351-392. doi:10.1177/0163278716688065

109. Johansson T, Tishelman C, Eriksson LE, Cohen J, Goliath I. Use, usability, and impact of a card-based conversation tool to support communication about end-of-life preferences in residential elder care – a qualitative study of staff experiences. *BMC Geriatr.* 2022;22(1):274. doi:10.1186/s12877-022-02915-w
110. Wallcook, S., Dohrn, I-M., Isaksson, G., Domeij, Y., Green, K., Dahlkvist, U., Goliath, I. "Facing an Empty Stage": Describing our process of co-researching with older care-experienced people in Sweden. *Unpublished.* 2023.
111. Wallcook, S., Dohrn, I-M., Isaksson, G., Domeij, Y., Green, K., Dahlkvist, U., Goliath, I. 'Health' is just one piece in the puzzle of wellbeing": Priorities from care-experienced older people to support wellbeing and health generated through co-research. *Unpublished.* Published online 2023.
112. James Lind Alliance. *James Lind Alliance Guidebook.*; 2021.
113. Kylberg M, Haak M, Iwarsson S. Research with and about user participation: potentials and challenges. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2018;30(1):105-108. doi:10.1007/s40520-017-0750-7
114. Blair T, Minkler M. Participatory action research with older adults: Key principles in practice. *The Gerontologist.* 2009;49(5):651-662.
115. Corrado AM, Benjamin-Thomas TE, McGrath C, Hand C, Laliberte Rudman D. Participatory action research with older adults: a critical interpretive synthesis. *The Gerontologist.* 2020;60(5):e413-e427.
116. Fletcher AJ, MacPhee M, Dickson G. Doing Participatory Action Research in a Multicase Study: A Methodological Example. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods.* 2015;14(5):160940691562140. doi:10.1177/1609406915621405
117. Waterman H, Tillen D, Dickson R, De Koning K. Action research: a systematic review and guidance for assessment. *Health technology assessment (Winchester, England).* 2001;5(23):iii-157.
118. Wicks PG, Reason P. Initiating action research: Challenges and paradoxes of opening communicative space. *Action Research.* 2009;7(3):243-262. doi:10.1177/1476750309336715
119. Baum F, MacDougall C, Smith D. Participatory action research. *Journal of epidemiology and community health.* 2006;60(10):854.
120. Freshwater D. Action research for changing and improving practice. *Qualitative research in health care.* 2005;210:228.
121. Hajradinovic Y, Tishelman C, Lindqvist O, Goliath I. Family members' experiences of the end-of-life care environments in acute care settings – a photo-elicitation study. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being.* 2018;13(1):1511767. doi:10.1080/17482631.2018.1511767
122. Johansson T, Tishelman C, Eriksson LE, Cohen J, Goliath I. Factors associated with death literacy among Swedish adults: A cross-sectional exploratory study. *Palliative & Supportive Care.* Published online May 12, 2023:1-11. doi:10.1017/S1478951523000548
123. Tishelman C, Lindqvist O, Hajdarevic S, Rasmussen BH, Goliath I. Beyond the visual and verbal: using participant-produced photographs in research on the surroundings for care at the end-of-life. *Social Science & Medicine.* 2016;168:120-129.

124. Johansson, T. Olsson, Å. Tishelman, C. Leonard, R. Noonan, K. Eriksson, L. Goliath, I. Cohen, J. Validation of a culturally adapted Swedish-language version of the Death Literacy Index. *Re-Submitted after minor revisions 231023*. 2023.
125. Nygård, L., Rosenberg, L., & Kottorp, A. (2016). *Users Manual: Everyday Technology Use Questionnaire, English Version*. Karolinska Institutet.
126. *Individens Behov i Centrum Ett Stöd För Att Använda ICF Och Strukturerad Dokumentation För Personer Som Behöver Stöd i Sin Dagliga Livsföring [Individual Needs a Center. [A Guide for the Documentation in Care of People in Need of Support in Daily Life]*. The National Board of Health and Welfare; 2023.
127. Braun V, Clarke V. What can “thematic analysis” offer health and wellbeing researchers? *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*. 2014;9(1):26152. doi:10.3402/qhw.v9.26152
128. von Thiele Schwarz U, Richter A, Hasson H. Getting Everyone on the Same Page: Cocreated program logic (COP). In: *Organizational Interventions for Health and Well-Being*. Routledge; 2018.
129. Karlström P. *Applying for Home Care Services : A Qualitative Study of How First-Time Users of Social Care for Older People Experienced the Application Process.*; 2022. Accessed October 5, 2023. <https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:su:diva-206357>
130. *Hemtjänstuppdraget Att Få Vardagen Att Fungera, Skälig Levnadsnivå. [Homecare Mission to Make Everyday Life Work and Reasonable Living Conditions]*. Stockholms City; 2021. <https://start.stockholm/globalassets/start/om-stockholms-stad/politik-och-demokrati/styrdokument/riktlinjer-for-handlaggning-inom-socialtjanstens-aldreomsorg.pdf>
131. Ebeling M, Meyer AC, Modig K. Variation in End-of-Life Trajectories in Persons Aged 70 Years and Older, Sweden, 2018–2020. *Am J Public Health*. 2023;113(7):786–794. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2023.307281
132. McMahan RD, Tellez I, Sudore RL. Deconstructing the Complexities of Advance Care Planning Outcomes: What Do We Know and Where Do We Go? A Scoping Review. *J American Geriatrics Society*. 2021;69(1):234–244. doi:10.1111/jgs.16801
133. Martin RS, Hayes B, Gregorevic K, Lim WK. The effects of advance care planning interventions on nursing home residents: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2016;17(4):284–293.
134. Threapleton DE, Chung RY, Wong SY, et al. Care toward the end of life in older populations and its implementation facilitators and barriers: a scoping review. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2017;18(12):1000–1009.
135. Leonard R, Noonan K, Horsfall D, et al. Developing a death literacy index. *Death Studies*. 2022;46(9):2110–2122. doi:10.1080/07481187.2021.1894268
136. Johansson T. A “new Public Health” Perspective on Building Competence for End-of-Life Care and Communication: How Death Literacy Can Be Developed and Measured. Karolinska Institutet.; 2022.

137. Alvariza A, Mjörnberg M, Goliath I. Palliative care nurses' strategies when working in private homes—A photo-elicitation study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2020;29(1-2):139-151. doi:10.1111/jocn.15072
138. Stake RE. Multiple case study analysis. New York, NY. *Guilford Press Strain, PS, & Bovey, EH (2011) Randomized controlled trial of the LEAP model of early intervention for young children with Autism Spectrum Disorders Topics in Early childhood Special Education*. 2006;31:133-154.
139. Mulgan G, Tucker S, Ali R, Sanders B. Social innovation: what it is, why it matters and how it can be accelerated. Published online 2007. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://ictlogy.net/bibliography/reports/projects.php?idp=4189>
140. Ledan, A. *Social Experimentation – A Practical Guide for Project Promoters*. European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion; 2022. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2767/619329>
141. Hasson H, Hedberg Rundgren E, Strehlenert H, et al. The adaptation and fidelity tool to support social service practitioners in balancing fidelity and adaptations: Longitudinal, mixed-method evaluation study. *Implementation Research and Practice*. 2023;4:26334895231189198. doi:10.1177/26334895231189198
142. Fetterman DM, Wandersman A. *Empowerment Evaluation Principles in Practice*. Guilford Press; 2012.
143. Miller RL, Campbell R. Taking Stock of Empowerment Evaluation: An Empirical Review. *American Journal of Evaluation*. 2006;27(3):296-319. doi:10.1177/109821400602700303
144. Saldaña J. *Longitudinal Qualitative Research: Analyzing Change through Time*. Rowman Altamira; 2003. Accessed October 21, 2023. https://books.google.com/books?hl=sv&lr=&id=7vs_1dnjmOgC&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=Salda%C3%B1a+J.+Longitudinal+qualitative+research:+Analyzing+change+through+time:+Rowman+Altamira%3B+2003.+&ots=0OqPaQbaGy&sig=Bs_-bl_-ZTZp444Q_e5-eUBNyVM
145. Taylor R. Case-study research in context. *Nurse researcher*. 2013;20(4):4-5.
146. Yin RK. *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Vol 5. sage; 2009. Accessed October 21, 2023. [https://books.google.com/books?hl=sv&lr=&id=FzawlAdilHkC&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=Yin,+R.+K.+\(2009\).+Case+study+research:+Design+and+methods+\(Vol.+5\).+sage.+&ots=l_6V8ghTXo&sig=lr3BLql8hslgnCPyOnlLrQ4i9io](https://books.google.com/books?hl=sv&lr=&id=FzawlAdilHkC&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=Yin,+R.+K.+(2009).+Case+study+research:+Design+and+methods+(Vol.+5).+sage.+&ots=l_6V8ghTXo&sig=lr3BLql8hslgnCPyOnlLrQ4i9io)
147. Penfield T, Baker MJ, Scoble R, Wykes MC. Assessment, evaluations, and definitions of research impact: A review. *Research Evaluation*. 2014;23(1):21-32. doi:10.1093/reseval/rvt021
148. Patton MQ. *Developmental Evaluation: Applying Complexity Concepts to Enhance Innovation and Use*. Guilford Press; 2010.
149. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2013;13(1):117. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-13-117

150. Hardy LJ, Hulen E, Shaw K, Mundell L, Evans C. Ripple effect: An evaluation tool for increasing connectedness through community health partnerships. *Action Research*. 2018;16(3):299-318. doi:10.1177/1476750316688512
151. Nobles J, Wheeler J, Dunleavy-Harris K, et al. Ripple effects mapping: capturing the wider impacts of systems change efforts in public health. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2022;22(1):72. doi:10.1186/s12874-022-01570-4
152. Bamberger M. Introduction to mixed methods in impact evaluation. *Impact evaluation notes*. 2012;3(3):1-38.
153. Abel J, Walter T, Carey LB, et al. Circles of care: should community development redefine the practice of palliative care? *BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care*. 2013;3(4):383-388. doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2012-000359
154. Crocker L, Algina J. *Introduction to Classical and Modern Test Theory*. ERIC; 1986. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ed312281>
155. Waite J, Poland F, Charlesworth G. Facilitators and barriers to co-research by people with dementia and academic researchers: Findings from a qualitative study. *Health Expectations*. 2019;22(4):761-771. doi:10.1111/hex.12891
156. Hamed, Sarah, et al. Researching health in diverse neighbourhoods: critical reflection on the use of a community research model in Uppsala, Sweden. *BMC research notes* 111 (2018): 1-6. Published online 2018.
157. Robert G. Participatory action research: using experience-based co-design to improve the quality of healthcare services. *Understanding and Using Health Experiences—improving patient care*. Published online 2013:138-150.
158. INVOLVE. *Briefing Notes for Researchers: Involving the Public in NHS, Public Health and Social Care Research. Version 1.0.*; 2021. <https://www.nihr.ac.uk/documents/briefing-notes-for-researchers-public-involvement-in-nhs-health-and-social-care-research/27371>
159. Ward V, Smith S, House A, Hamer S. Exploring knowledge exchange: a useful framework for practice and policy. *Social science & medicine*. 2012;74(3):297-304.
160. Noronha DO, Luz-Santos C, Novais HP de O, et al. Health care network model for older adults: a co-creation and participatory action research approach. *Geriatrics, Gerontology and Aging*. 2022;16:1-10.
161. Liljas AEM, Walters K, Jovicic A, et al. Engaging ‘hard to reach’ groups in health promotion: the views of older people and professionals from a qualitative study in England. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):629. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-6911-1
162. Urbaniak, A., & Wanka, A. (Eds.). *Routledge International Handbook of Participatory Approaches in Ageing Research*. Vol 2024. Routledge <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/oa-edit/10.4324/9781003254829/routledge-international-handbook-participatory-approaches-ageing-research-anna-urbaniak-anna-wanka>

163. Karamacoska, D., Heins, P., Maldonado Branco, R., Wallcook, S...Smith, A. & Bartels, S. How are early career dementia researchers considered and supported on a national level by dementia plans and organisations? An overview of global policy approaches. *submitted*. 2023.
164. *Statistics Sweden*. Accessed September 8, 2023. Statistical database <https://www.statistikdatabasen.scb.se/pxweb/sv/ssd/>
165. Finucane AM, Bone AE, Evans CJ, et al. The impact of population ageing on end-of-life care in Scotland: projections of place of death and recommendations for future service provision. *BMC Palliat Care*. 2019;18(1):112. doi:10.1186/s12904-019-0490-x
166. Ekman B, McKee K, Vicente J, Magnusson L, Hanson E. Cost analysis of informal care: estimates from a national cross-sectional survey in Sweden. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21(1):1236. doi:10.1186/s12913-021-07264-9
167. Ward PR, Thompson J, Barber R, et al. Critical perspectives on 'consumer involvement' in health research: Epistemological dissonance and the know-do gap. *Journal of Sociology*. 2010;46(1):63-82. doi:10.1177/1440783309351771
168. Russell J, Fudge N, Greenhalgh T. The impact of public involvement in health research: what are we measuring? Why are we measuring it? Should we stop measuring it? *Res Involv Engagem*. 2020;6(1):63. doi:10.1186/s40900-020-00239-w
169. Bendien E, Groot B, Abma T. Circles of impacts within and beyond participatory action research with older people. *Ageing & Society*. 2022;42(5):1014-1034.
170. Gilleard C, Higgs P. Aging without agency: Theorizing the fourth age. *Aging & Mental Health*. 2010;14(2):121-128. doi:10.1080/13607860903228762
171. Katz S. Precarious life, human development and the life course: critical intersections. In: *Precarity and Ageing*. Policy Press; 2020:41-66. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://bristoluniversitypressdigital.com/downloadpdf/book/9781447340874/ch003.pdf>
172. Mikton C, de la Fuente-Núñez V, Officer A, Krug E. Ageism: a social determinant of health that has come of age. *The Lancet*. 2021;397(10282):1333-1334.
173. Organization WH. Global report on ageism. Published online 2021. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://apo.org.au/node/311614>
174. Drury L, Abrams D, Swift HJ. Making intergenerational connections—an evidence review. Published online 2017. Accessed October 21, 2023. <http://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/id/eprint/21659/>
175. Pettigrew TF, Tropp LR. How does intergroup contact reduce prejudice? Meta-analytic tests of three mediators. *Eur J Soc Psychol*. 2008;38(6):922-934. doi:10.1002/ejsp.504
176. Avby G, Melke A. Socialtjänsten som en hållbar kunskapsorganisation: från vision till verklighet? *Socialvetenskaplig tidskrift*. 2022;29(2):195-207.
177. Greenhalgh T, Wieringa S. Is it time to drop the 'knowledge translation' metaphor? A critical literature review. *J R Soc Med*. 2011;104(12):501-509. doi:10.1258/jrsm.2011.110285

178. Torres S, Lindblom J. Migrant care workers in elderly care: what a study of media representations suggests about Sweden as a caring democracy. *International Journal of Ageing and Later Life*. 2020;14(2):61-87. doi:10.3384/ijal.1652-8670.3103
179. Lethin C, Kenkmann A, Chiatti C, et al. Organizational Support Experiences of Care Home and Home Care Staff in Sweden, Italy, Germany and the United Kingdom during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Healthcare*. 2021;9(6):767. doi:10.3390/healthcare9060767
180. Jegermalm M, Wilinska M, Bravell ME, Bülow P, Bülow P, Torgé CJ. Filling the gaps? The role of voluntary organizations in supporting older people with severe mental illnesses. *Nordic Social Work Research*. 2020;10(3):219-229. doi:10.1080/2156857X.2018.1555096
181. Farr M, Cressey P. Understanding staff perspectives of quality in practice in healthcare. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015;15(1):123. doi:10.1186/s12913-015-0788-1
182. Jull J, Giles A, Graham ID. Community-based participatory research and integrated knowledge translation: advancing the co-creation of knowledge. *Implementation Sci*. 2017;12(1):150. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0696-3
183. Nguyen T, Graham ID, Mrklas KJ, et al. How does integrated knowledge translation (IKT) compare to other collaborative research approaches to generating and translating knowledge? Learning from experts in the field. *Health Res Policy Sys*. 2020;18(1):35. doi:10.1186/s12961-020-0539-6
184. Theobald P. *Teaching the Commons: Place, Pride, and the Renewal of Community*. Routledge; 2018. Accessed October 21, 2023. [https://books.google.com/books?hl=sv&lr=&id=Mf_EDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Theobald,+P.+\(2018\).+Teaching+the+commons:+Place,+pride,+and+the+renewal+of+community.+Routledge.+&ots=o1JQy4Vvys&sig=dAEji0ajbdicQUYHliZEAXM_y3o](https://books.google.com/books?hl=sv&lr=&id=Mf_EDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Theobald,+P.+(2018).+Teaching+the+commons:+Place,+pride,+and+the+renewal+of+community.+Routledge.+&ots=o1JQy4Vvys&sig=dAEji0ajbdicQUYHliZEAXM_y3o)
185. Abma T, Banks S, Cook T, et al. *Participatory Research for Health and Social Well-Being*. Springer International Publishing; 2019. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-93191-3
186. *Understanding CASRAI and CRediT: Transforming Research Data Management in Healthcare and Beyond*.; 2014. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://casrai.org/credit/>
187. Sörensdotter R. *Omsorgsarbete i Omvandling: Genus, Klass Och Etnicitet Inom Hemtjänsten*. PhD Thesis. Socialantropologiska institutionen; 2008. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:198049>
188. Regnö K. Det osynliggjorda ledarskapet : Kvinnliga chefer i majoritet. Published online 2013. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:kth:diva-134379>
189. Bustreo F, Zoysa I de, Carvalho IA de. Policy directions to improve women's health beyond reproduction. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2013;91:712-714.
190. *Personalen i Valfärden [Staff in Welfare]*. Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions.; 2022. Accessed October 21, 2023. <https://skr.se/download/18.3a0a1c2218723106f76136e6/1680095405576/Personalen-i-valfarden-2022.pdf>

191. Songur W. Older migrants' use of elderly care in Sweden: family affects choice between home help services and special housing. *European Journal of Social Work*. 2021;24(3):481-491. doi:10.1080/13691457.2019.1639628
192. *The Swedish Occupational Register with Statistics*. Statistics Sweden; 2016.
193. Ågotnes G, Storm P. Perspectives on Migrant Care Workers in the Long-Term Care Sector: Identity Politics and Othering. 2022;12(4):469-484. doi:10.33134/njmr.477
194. von Berens, A, Strang. P, Tornqvist, L., Siljehag Alencar, P., Cederholm,T. Warnqvist, A., Berggren E. NutriSym- Deepened assessment of nutrition-related burden of symptoms in older adults at risk for malnutrition. *Manuscript*. Published online 2023.
195. von Thiele Schwarz U, Hedberg Rundgren E, Uvhagen H, Hedberg Rundgren Å. When academic impact is not enough. A concept mapping study characterizing excellence in practice based research. *Submitted/Manuscript*. 2023.
196. Stranz A, Tishelman C, Westerlund B, Nilsson F, Hilton R, Goliath I. The call-bell in residential care homes: Experiences of residents and staff. *Journal of Aging Studies*. 2022;62:101056. doi:10.1016/j.jaging.2022.101056
197. Rivett E. Research involving people with dementia: A literature review. *Working with Older People*. 2017;21(2):107-114.
198. Tanner D. Co-research with older people with dementia: Experience and reflections. *Journal of Mental Health*. 2012;21(3):296-306. doi:10.3109/09638237.2011.651658
199. Di Lorito C, Birt L, Poland F, et al. A synthesis of the evidence on peer research with potentially vulnerable adults: how this relates to dementia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2017;32(1):58-67. doi:10.1002/gps.4577
200. Evans CJ, Yorganci E, Lewis P, et al. Processes of consent in research for adults with impaired mental capacity nearing the end of life: systematic review and transparent expert consultation (MORECare_Capacity statement). *BMC Med*. 2020;18(1):221. doi:10.1186/s12916-020-01654-2
201. Wallcook S. *Conditions of Everyday Technology Use and Its Interplay in the Lives of Older Adults with and without Dementia*. Karolinska Institutet; 2021. <https://openarchive.ki.se/xmlui/handle/10616/47651>
202. Hofmeyer A, Scott C, Lagendyk L. Researcher-decision-maker partnerships in health services research: Practical challenges, guiding principles. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2012;12(1):280. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-12-280